

TOP SECRET/COMINITYNOFOR NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

6 July 2009

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD

THRU: Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight)

SUBJECT: (U/TOUO) Report to the Intelligence Oversight Board on NSA Activities -INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

(U/POUO) Except as previously reported to you or the President, or otherwise stated in the enclosure, we have no reason to believe that any intelligence activities of the National Security Agency during the quarter ending 31 March 2009 were unlawful or contrary to Executive Order or Presidential Directive and thus should have been reported pursuant to Section 1.6(c) of Executive Order 12333.

(U//POCO) The Inspector General and the General Counsel continue to exercise oversight of Agency activities by inspections, surveys, training, review of directives and guidelines, and advice and counsel. These activities and other data requested by the Board or members of the staff of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) are described in the enclosure.

GEORGE ELLAR

Inspector General

General Counsel

(U//FOUO) I concur in the report of the Inspector General and the General Counsel and hereby make it our combined report.

> 日音: ALEXANDER Lieutenant General, U. S. Army Director, NSA/Chief, CSS

Encl:

Quarterly Report

This document may be declassified and marked "UNCLASSIFIED A or Official Use upon removal of enclosure(s)

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52.

Dated: 20070108 Approved for Release by NSA on 12-19-2014, FOIA Case # 70809 (Litigation)

Declassify On: 20320108

TOP SECRET/COMINT/NOFORN

 (U//FOUO) Intelligence, counterintelligence, and intelligence-re that violate law, regulation, or policy substantiated during the qua actions taken as a result of the violations. 	
(U) Intelligence Activities	
(TS//SI//NF) On Decasions, selectors for U.S. persons were tasked uncollection before the approval process was completed. Although the submitted the prerequisite consensual collection forms in the final approval had not been granted by the Director, National Security Ag prior to tasking. The NSA analyst erroneously believed OGC approval was swith tasking, and did not complete the approval process. The violation was for when the selectors were detasked. No collection resulted for the present the final approval and the selectors were detasked. No collection resulted for the selectors were detasked. No collection resulted for the selectors were detasked. No collection resulted for the present the selectors were detasked. No collection resulted for the present the selectors were detasked. No collection resulted for the present the selectors were detasked. No collection resulted for the present the selectors were detasked.	e (SIGINT) analysts ersons while eleted or destroyed (b) (1) (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(1) ader consensual ency (DIRNSA) ufficient to proceed ound and corrected
(S//SI//NF) NSA targeted a U.S. telephone numb number passed to NSA from was in of a typing error. NSA analysts discovered the typing error on	er in error. The acorrect hecause when minutes, the which was
(S/SI/REL TO USA, FVEY) During this reporting period, valid foreign the United States. Tasking was terminated, and collection, was of the instances, was purged from NSA databases. No reports were iss (TS/SI/REL TO USA, FVEY) In of the instances of states, collection between would have been avoided have been avoided have been avoided have to research the target's Although the analyst rethe with access to the database. The research. The selectors were detasked, related collection was defined as of the selectors were detasked, related collection was defined as of the selectors were detasked, related collection was defined as of the selectors were detasked, related collection was defined as of the selectors were detasked, related collection was defined as of the selectors were detasked, related collection was defined as of the selectors were detasked, related collection was defined as of the selectors were detasked.	the United and timely action equested a review of base was on sick in the United States
(b) (3) -50 USC 3U24(1)	m: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 assify On: 39480914

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN-

databa future	se on ar	nd the analyst applied fo	r-a research account	to reduce the risk of (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L.	86-36
entere attemp	d the United States on ted unsuccessfully function of the graphical or any other action.	on the targe	an et selector on n prevented execution	n NSA analyst	
databa	Collection occurred on se	ner en	intercepts were purg	ed from the NSA	
target: were c	H/REL TO USA, FVEY) s were in the United States letasked. Collection, occuses. In inc	s. In all instances, collec-	ction was terminated nstances, was purged	and selectors (b) (3) I from NSA	-P.L. 86-36
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024	prerequisite check. And noted that the e-mail accassociated results were considered.	ates before conducting a ared to show the electron st searched on the selected other NSA analyst found count	query of an NSA dat tic mail (e-mail) according to the contraction of	tabase. Although the punt and and and	
被	(S//SI//REL-TO USA. F should have been detask been removed in another valid foreign tar relating to the U.S. perso	in the United States was noticed whi get. The selector was de	The selection belonged to a The selection NSA analyst wastasked on	foreign national tor, believed to have	P.L. 86-36
(U) D	atabase Queries	(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 80	5 ~ 36		
from t	WRILL TO USA, TVEY) (not those occasions, the he overly broad or incompondation of the contributed to of the contributed to of the contributed to of the contributed to other co	plete queries was deleted	from the database.	The returned results	
聚	(S//SI//REL TO USA, F analyst failed to restrict targeting of a U.S. intention of collecting a mistakenly believed that foreign intelligence purp	his database query with communication, one end the could query	without authorizat	ors, resulting in the	(̈b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	. T	OP SECRET//COMINT	'/NOFORN'	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-30	
<u>i</u> i1	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 TS//SP/REL. TO USA: FVEY) Another NSA analyst used the term in his search for foreign intelligence related to the U.S. presidential nauguration. From the analyst mistakenly believed that the erm typed in was permissible. The mistake, found on orrected that day. No collection resulted from the query.
(E) 7 0 0 3 6 6	An NSA analyst and his auditor and applied targeting guidance, resulting in queries to an NSA database. Queries The mistake produced query results, which were deleted without review.
s a 'I	earch for foreign the malyst mistakenly believed that the term typed in was permissible. The query, found by the analyst's auditor, was deleted on and all related collection was destroyed.
D) (3) -P. L. 86-36	TS//SI//REL. TO USA, EVEY) In an attempt to locate a translated intercept, an (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(1) NSA analyst searched on the of the transcribing linguist. The violation was found by the analyst's auditor. No results were returned. (b) (1) (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 (b) (3) -P. L. 86-36 (b) (3) -18 USC 798 (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(1)
selec	authorization had expired on The NSA analyst detasked the ctors on but was not aware of The violation was identified on and the swere detasked the same day. No collection occurred between and
For ci gn commun	WE') The selectors ofvalid foreign targets tasked were not removed from tasking when they were approved for targeting under Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) Order Consequently, the targets' under after they entered the United States in this oversight was found during a selector review The selectors
U.S. per or destro they con	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 W.L. TO USA, FVEY) Unintentional dissemination of U.S. identities. There instances in which SIGINT analysts disseminated communications to, from, or about sons while pursuing foreign intelligence tasking this quarter. All data have been deleted oved as required. In of the instances, SIGINT products were cancelled because stained the identities of U.S. persons, organizations, or entities. The reports were either sued or-were reissued with proper minimization.

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) TOP SE	CRET#COMINT#NOFORN (b) (3)-P.L. 86
/CHC3//but textitox provid	
(S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) SIGINT before he shared the data with	an NSA analyst failed to minimize b) (3)-
The data,	included information on U.S. persons. The
analyst was contacted on	and he destroyed the data. No reports were issued on
the disseminated data.	
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) White	e NSA analysts were developing
	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86 (b)(3)-18 USC (b)(3)-50 USC
(S//NF) Information shared with	analyst by an NSA (b)(3)-
analyst enabled the analyst to ass	sociate a telephone number with a U.S. person. On
<u> </u>	Although the complete
number was not given to the anal	
it with the owner, who is a U.S. persor	(b) (1)
(U) Report Cancellation Delay 7(b)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	44,
	ISA analyst learned from that a valid (S. citizenship. Although the selectors were detasked on
	reports generated from the
unauthorized collection were not cance	elled until The delay in report cancellation
	tion between two analysts. Each believed the other was
going to cancel the reports.	
(U) The Foreign Intelligence Sun	veillance Act (FISA) (b) (1)
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (E)(3)-50 USC 3024(1)
(U) Unauthorized Targeting	/2/13/ 00 000 3001/1/
(TS//SI//NF) Retween	collection continued on a target (b) (1)
selector after the FISC Order.	had expired. During routing selector screening, an
·	nail selector had not been specified on the new
Court Order The selector	was removed from and tasking on
	was purged from an NSA database on
No reports based on unauthorized colle	(b) (1)
(TS//SI//NE) No	SA learned that a EISC-approved selector
(

ID: 4165207		(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(1)
TOP SEC	RET//COMINT/, NOFORN	
procedures have been modified to include	de	
	11- 12- 11-	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L.
(TS//SI//NF) On occasions, collections were isolated of	on continued after FISC orde to a malfur	rs herion between
selectors continued after the FISC	Court Order expired on	Collection
between were retasked under the FISA Amendme	was purged from NSA c	databases. The selectors Certification
the FIS	Court Order exp	
Collection from selectors was purged	d from NSA database on	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 8
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY)		ucried an NSA database for
intelligence on a U.S. person for a perio order was signed and effective as of	d not covered by FISC Order the analyst quer	
The analyst terminated his query and de		when he recognized
his mistake.		
(TS//SI/NIP)		
L		(t-1 (- 1
(U) Business Records (BR) Order	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(
	-	12/12/ 30 000 3024/
(FS//SI//NF) On 7 January 2009, while	searching collection ts found BR FISA data includ	led in the query results. Of (b) (3)-P.
the selectors used in queries, only	bad been approved under the	he reasonable articulable
suspicion (RAS) standard. Although the selectors had not been approved for call		
that approval must be sought for BR FIS	4	lo data was retained, and no
reports were issued.		
(TS//SL//NF) On 9 January 2009, an NS	A analyst violated NSA call-	chaining procedures when

(TS//SI//NF) On 9 January 2009, an NSA analyst violated NSA call-chaining procedures when he inadvertently did an extra hop, or call-chaining expansion during a BR FISA chaining event resulting in four call-chaining expansions or hops. The Court order prohibits more than three. Immediately, the analyst realized four hops were processed, and he deleted all of the results, which were foreign.

TOP SECRET/COMPUT/NOFORN

(U)	U	pdate	to	previous	report
-----	---	-------	----	----------	--------

(TS//SI/NF) As reported last quarter, on 15 January 2009, the Department of Justice reported to the FISC that NSA had been using an "alert list" to compare incoming BR FISA metadata against telephone numbers associated with counterterrorism (CT) targets that NSA had tasked for SIGINT collection. The Agency had reported to the FISC that the alert list consisted of numbers for which NSA had determined that a RAS existed that the numbers were related to a terrorist organization associated with [IS] (B) (1) (B) (3) -P. L. 86-36 (B) (3) -50 USC 3024 (1) subjected to a RAS determination. Analysis through call-chaining was not performed unless the number met the RAS standard. (b)(1)
against CT target selectors, and in the conduct of a comprehensive review, NSA identified other processes used to query the BR FISA metadata that also did not conform with the Court's orders or that were not fully explained to the Court. The review also identified some manually entered queries that were noncompliant with the Court's orders. None of the compliance incidents resulted in the dissemination of any reporting from NSA to any other department or agency. Upon discovery of these compliance incidents, NSA immediately made changes to its processes to ensure that the Agency is handling and querying the telephony metadata in accordance with the Court's orders. The corrective measures include implementation of controls that prevent any automated process from querying the telephony metadata NSA receives pursuant to the Court's orders and which also guard against manual querying errors.
(YS//SI/NF) The Department of Justice filed preliminary notices of compliance incidents with the FISC on 15 January. 21 January. 26 January. 2 February. 25 February, and 31 March 2009. The FISC issued an order on 5 March 2009 allowing NSA to continue to acquire the BR FISA metadata but imposing further restrictions on use of the data until the completion of the government's end-to-end system engineering and process report. The report will include further information on steps to remedy areas of concern, oversight efforts, and minimization and oversight procedures to be employed if the FISC allows resumed regular access to the BR FISA metadata.
(U) Pen/Trap Order
(U) Nothing to report. (U) The Protect America Act (PAA) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -50°USC 3024(1)
(TS//SI//Rtil. TO USA, FVEY) A delay in the review of intercept contributed to collection on a target while he was in the United States. The selector was tasked under PAA Certification on but not checked by the analyst until
the United States. The query results were deleted on No reports were issued. (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (3) -18 USC 798

DOCID: 4165207
(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024(1)
(TS//SI//RELE TO USA, FVEY) During a tasking record review NSA
analysts learned that target selectors had been tasked under the wrong authority. The selectors, tasked on had been tasked under the PAA
selectors, tasked on had been tasked under the PAA instead of the FAA Certification 2008. The selectors were
retusked under the correct certification on No collection was purged because
the two certifications share the same minimization rules and database storage protections. No reports were issued.
(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-3
(TS//SI//NF) Human error resulted in the targeting of a dual U.S. and citizen after
an NSA analyst learned of the dual citizenship The analyst failed to detask through
an FAA 704 authorization. When this process weakness was identified the
selector was detasked. No collection occurred during the period of unauthorized targeting, and
no reports were issued.
(U) The FISA Amendments Act (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024 (:
(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
(U) Tasked under an incorrect FAA Certification (b) (3) -50 USC 3024(i)
-(TS//SI//REL-TO USA, FVEY) During a tasking record review NSA
analysts learned that a target selector had been tasked under the wrong authority. The selector,
tasked on had been tasked under the FAA Certification
instead of the FAA The selector was retasked under the correct certification on No collection occurred.
(TS//SI//REI, TO USA, FVEY)two separate incidents were identified.
An NSA analyst discovered that a selector had been tasked under the wrong authority from Another analyst tasked a selector under the wrong
authority from Both selectors had been tasked under the
FAA Certification instead of the FAA Certification
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.
(TS//SI//REL-FO-USA, FVEY) A selector for a foreign target was mistakenly tasked
Apparently, the analyst used the wrong
The error was overlooked by the tasking review team. The //
selector was removed from collection when the problem was identified No collection occurred.
(b) (3) -P. L. 8
(TS//SI//RIIL TO USA, FVEY) During a tasking selector review NSA
analysts learned that a target selector had been tasked under the wrong authority. The selector,
tasked of had been tasked under the FAA Certification instead of the FAA Certification — The selector was retasked under the
correct certification on No collection occurred.
(b) (1)
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)

TOP SECRET//COMINT/NOFORN-

	(U) Unauthorized Targeting (U) Unauthorized Targeting (U) Unauthorized Targeting (U) Unauthorized Targeting
(1)	without FAA 705(b) authorization, an NSA interrequeried target who is a U.S. citizen to determine whether he was still in The bbtained from the search was deleted on No other collection resulted. The intern has completed additional formal training on database queries and has been assigned to work with senior analysts.
(3)-P.L.	(FS//SI//NF) Collection occurred not specified on the FAA 705(b) authorization. an NSA analyst found that an tasked the target on The tasking was based on a report that mentioned the number belonged to an unidentified associate of a counterterrorism target. The detasked the selector and purged all related collection from NSA databases on (b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (3)-50 USC 3024 (i)
	(TS//SI/NF) Human error resulted in the targeting of a on An NSA analyst incorrectly and tasked a selector under the FAA Certification. In addition to activity and the selector was through daily due diligence reviews of selectors. The selector was detasked on
_	(U) U.S. Person Status (TS//SI//NF) On an FAA-authorized target was using an e-mail address that
	The selector was detasked on and collection was purged from an NSA database on While researching the event. NSA analysts found that the target was in the United States on The event was not immediately found because of a software error. A software modification was implemented on to correct the problem. No reporting occurred from the unauthorized collection.
	The e-mail selector was detasked on No collection resulted. Additionally, the analyst learned that the target possessed a U.S. passport, in conflict with the results of a previous NSA status request that revealed no U.S. citizenship. A second request to confirmed U.S. citizenship status on (b) (1)
	(TS//SI/NI) NSA analysts learned that an FAA-authorized target was active in the United States on The e-mail selector was detasked on Although appropriate actions were taken to purge the data from NSA databases, the time taken to complete the action exceeded NSA's self-imposed goal of purging data within five working days. No

was detasked on

NSA databases.

(b) (3)

TOP SECRET//COMINT/NOPORN

	(U) Detasking Delays
	(TS//SI//RE). TO USA, FVEY) Human error caused a three-day detasking delay, which resulted in collection while the target was active in the United States. The request to terminate the FAA-authorized collection was submitted on but the selector was not detasked until The analyst did not The resulting collection was purged from a NSA database on No reporting occurred on the unauthorized collection.
- D	When he discovered the telephone number Trying to garner intelligence on a target authorized by FAA 705b docket the analyst (b)(1) kept the number on tasking to obtain information on the target's The (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) selector remained tasked when the analyst was directed to detask the selector. No collection occurred during the period of unauthorized targeting.
-e.	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) A judgment not to collection of a foreign target while he was in the United States. An NSA analyst believed that a routine detasking request submitted on would be completed prior to the target's he United States on The analyst was on sick leave and was not able to verify the detasking action. The intercepts obtained while the target was in the United States were purged from NSA databases on
	(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVI:V) Human error resulted in the pursuit of an FAA 704-authorized target while he was in the United States. the e-mail selector remained tasked The analyst from the responsible arget office was in training during the target's to (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 No collection occurred as a result of the violation.
	(S//SI//NF) A selector was not detasked during a target's visit to a United States territory. NSA learned of the travel on and detasked the selector on Collection occurred before the selector was removed from tasking. That collection was purged from an NSA database on No reporting occurred. (TS//SI//NF) A miscommunication between two NSA analysts contributed to collection on a foreign target after he entered the United States. When the analysts learned
	the United States on each analyst believed the other terminated (b)(1) collection for the duration of the visit. The mistake was found and the selector

(FS//SI//REE TO USA, FVEY) A communication problem resulted in delayed removal of

an FAA selector from targeting while the target was in the United States.

were purged from the

TOP SECRET//COMINT/INGFORM

	to the United States of an FAA 702 authorized target. The report re	quested detasking of the	
	selector before	The selector was not (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L.	. 86-36
	detasked before the e-mail selector	to the United States on	
	the second secon	c selector was detasked on	
	Collection did not occur from the	etivity. (b)(1)	
	(TC/SI/A-T) associate of unique identifications and	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
-	(TS//SI//NT) Research of an incident revealed soon after the selector was tasked for collection	(b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i))
	procedures, a target analyst should have detasked a selector when the	MCCOLUMB TO LADA	
	lack of useful intelligence. Subsequently, the e-mail address	(b)(1)	
		on the target was found in (b)(3)-P.L.	. 86-36
	NSA databases. No reports were issued.	on the trigot was touted in	
	1		
	(U) Dissemination of FAA Data		
	(TS//SI//NF) unminimized collection was forw	warded to (b) (3)-P.L. 8	6-36
	A U.S. selector was not minim		
	exchange. When the violation was identified, the message was succ	essfully recalled on	
		Man Street, and Street, and Street, St	
	/ 1 Th Phys. 1 11 400 1	(b)(1)	
	(U) Destruction Delay	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-	36
	CPCHOTIATE IT C magnes 3 de massa de la 1		
	(TS//SI/NF) U.S. person data was retained before an NS	SA analyst purged the data	
	from NSA databases. The target, believed to be foreign at the time was found to be a U.S. citizen in		
	request authorization to retain the calls collected but did not p	The analyst intended to	
	41 1	reports were issued.	
	100 and purged from 1007 databases	reports were issued.	
	(U) Other		
	(b)(1) (b)(3)·P.L. 86-36	(b)(1)	
	(U) Unauthorized Access	(b)(3) P.L. 86-36 (b)(3) 50 USC 3024(i)	
	(TS//SI/NF) an NSA analyst enlisted the help of	of another NSA analyst for	
	translation assistance. In doing so, FISA data was viewed at an NS	A site not authorized for	
(6)(1)	the data. The analyst recognized the mistake and deleted the d	ata. (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
(b) (3)-P.	-P.L. 86-36	(b)(3)-50 USC 30;	24(i)
	(C//RELTO USA; FVEY) A newly-created	with no	
\ \	established authority to conduct SIGINT, attempted to obtain such a	tuthority.by inappropriately	
	using a parent organization's SIGINT address to sponsor analysts	NSA	
		e SIGINT database accounts	
	of the and instructed on pr	oper access procedures.	
	(D) (C) (D) (D) (C) (D		
	(S//SI//REI.) An NSA supervisor mistakenly granted SIGINT datab	ase access to a person not	
	authorized for access.	1 1 0	
	databases. The analyst's NSA supervisor did not follow the d		
	databases. Theanalyst's NSA supervisor did not follow the c	locumented process for	
		(b)(1)	

D: 4165207		
·	TOP SECRET//COMINT//N	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
database access. Access SIGINT database access.		While the employee had ence oversight training mandates.
(U) Improper Storage	(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
oversight during a research in the development of was not built into the pro-	ch and development effort. NSA r so se gram. take was corrected	I the proper protection because of an esearchers did not include FISA data oftware to properly label FISA data (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L.
(8//81//141)	an NSA analyst enters security violation was discovere	ed U.S. identities in (b)(3)-P.L. 8 d by the Chief of the
deleted the entries contain No reporting or dissemin	ning U.S. entities and confirmed reation of the U.S. entities occurred.	
(U) Improper Data Trans	fer	(b)(1) (b)(3)·P.L. 86·36 (b)(3)·50 USC 3024(i)
upgrade test.		. person selectors in a software d unminimized SIGINT collection to
upgrade test. test information transfer l before it was sent from or the mistake was found an	an NSA contractor use octween two NSA systems. The done system to the other. During a s d the files were purged the same of (b) (1)	. person selectors in a software d unminimized SIGINT collection to ata was to have been minimized ystem check
upgrade test. test information transfer l before it was sent from or the mistake was found an (U) Minimization	an NSA contractor use octween two NSA systems. The done system to the other. During a st difficulty the files were purged the same of (b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36	. person selectors in a software d unminimized SIGINT collection to ata was to have been minimized ystem checkay.
upgrade test. test information transfer l before it was sent from or the mistake was found an (U) Minimization (TS//SI//REL TO USA, F possible weakness with the and related grades.	an NSA contractor use petween two NSA systems. The done system to the other. During a side of the files were purged the same of the files were purged to the files were purged the same of the files were purged to the files were purged to the files were purged to the files were purged the same of the files were purged to the	A technical director identified a data isabled pending further research. The completion. (b)(1) (b)(3) P.L. 86-36
upgrade test. test information transfer l before it was sent from or the mistake was found an (U) Minimization (TS//SI//REL TO USA, F possible weakness with the and related gradest	an NSA contractor use octween two NSA systems. The done system to the other. During a st double the same of the files were purged to the files were purged the same of the files were purged the same of the files were purged to the files were purged the same of the files were purged to the f	A technical director identified a data isabled pending further research. The completion.
upgrade test. test information transfer l before it was sent from or the mistake was found an (U) Minimization (TS//SI//REL TO USA, F possible weakness with th and related gr. NSA Inspector General v (U) Premature Access (S//SI//REL TO USA, FANSA analysts wereNSA analysts were	an NSA contractor use octween two NSA systems. The done system to the other. During a still discontinuous disconti	A technical director identified a data isabled pending further research. The completion. (b)(3) P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) atlay from

TOP SECRET//COMINT/AVOFORN

SIGINT databases without the proper approval. Analysts are prohibited from logging is databases while the access approval is pending. In both instances, the requests for access approval is pending.	nto the
been completed by the parent NSA organization.	SS TEAU TIOL (b)(1)
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
(S//REL TO USA, FVEY) A software error resulted in premature approval to access F/	A and
PAA data in an NSA database. The NSA/CSS	is
authorized to approve requests for SIGINT database access, while the SID approves acc	
while approving SIGINT database ac software error enabled approval by the This weakness	
identified, reported, and corrected during an access review	TAn
examination of accesses revealed that one analyst had access to	
The analyst did	not
publish reports containing FAA or PAA data.	l. V
(U) Unauthorized Access	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U//FOUO) During the quarter, an analyst's access to SIGINT databases was not termin Cryptologic Center upon completion of temporary additional duty on one occasion. At the analyst was cleared for access, losing organizations must terminate access sponsors the gaining organizations must sponsor database access. This mandated practice is an einternal control.	though hip, and
(U) Computer Network Exploitation (CNE)	
-(TS//SI//REL TO USA: FVEY)	
	<u>'</u>
	7.
78	(b)(1)
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-18 USC 798
	(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)
19	• •
'	
RF CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO	

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORM_

- (18//8	l/NF)					(b)	(1) (3)-P.L. 86-36
							(3)-18 USC 79 (3)-50 USC 30
(U) Dis	ssemination	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(3)-50 USC	6 3024(i)	The a galactic field and the state of the st			
(S//RE	L TO US A	, AUS. GBR, NZL	1	NSA termi	nated		
						111 - 444 1441-44	1
		1000	No.	C1 \$10 a		1.77	J
wookn	accae with e			oblem, NSA recogn trols. Specifically,			
				nois. Specificany, usire auditors are a			
THE PLEASE AND THE	1.5% 0 5% 0 614	annig for the tooth	are therefore, i	to block known			
	Į.	imited access was	restored		vas rest		
(C//N	7		data t	hat was not releasa	ble to		
/ -						·	
	s were delet	ed upon recognitio	 Compute 	Security Incident I	Reports were	submitted to	
/ NSA.				-			
1							
(57/51/	REL TO U	SA, FVEY)					
<u> </u>		1			24	ï	(b)(1)
		,,,					(b)(3)-P.L. 8
		,,,					(b)(3)-P.L. 8
**	(\$//\$I//RI)	l to usa. fvey) In lins	tances NSA analyst	s	/	(b)(3)-P.L. 8
79				tances NSA analyst	s	/ that	(b)(3)-P.L. 8
98		U.S. person inform	ation to the			that	(b)(3)-P.L. 80
**		U.S. person inform	ation to the	which occurred on		that	(b)(3)-P.L. 8((b)(3)-50 US
雅		U.S. person inform	ation to the	which occurred on		that a urred on (b)(1 s—The (b)(3	(b)(3)-P.L. 86 (b)(3)-50 US))-P.L. 86-3
Maria and a state of the state	contained	U.S. person inform In the first when the	ation to the instances,	which occurred on The was in the	instance occ	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3)	(b)(3)-P.L. 8(-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 5
L 86-36	contained	U.S. person inform In the first	ation to the instances,	which occurred on The was in the	instance occ	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3)	(b)(3)-P.L. 8(-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 7
L. 88-36	destroyed	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no	instances,	which occurred on The was in the	instance occ	that urred on (b)(1 s.—The (b)(3 (b)(3	(b)(3)-P.L. 8(-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 5
₩ L 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//RE	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no	instances,	which occurred on The was in the	instance occ	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3)	(b)(3)-P.L. 8(-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 5
L. 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//RE	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no	instances,	which occurred on The was in the	instance occ	that urred on (b)(1 s.—The (b)(3 (b)(3	(b)(3)-P.L. 8(-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
L 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//RE	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no	instances,	which occurred on The was in the	instance occ	that urred on (b)(1 s.—The (b)(3 (b)(3	(b)(3)-P.L. 8(-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
8 L 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//RE U.S. perso	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no L TO USA, FVEY n information was	instances,	which occurred on The was in the	instance occ United State	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
L_86-36	destroyed (S//SI//RE U.S. perso	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no ETO USA, FVEY n information was	instances,	which occurred on The was in the	instance occ United State	that urred on (b)(1 s.—The (b)(3 (b)(3	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
L. 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//RE U.S. perso	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no L TO USA, FVEY n information was	instances,	which occurred on The was in the of the incidents.	instance occ United State	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3 (b)(3 containing analyst notice	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
L. 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//REUS, perso) when collethe U.S. perso	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no L TO USA, IVLY n information was ection was erson information	ation to the instances,	which occurred on The was in the of the incidents.	Instance occ United State	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3 (b)(3 containing analyst notice has begun	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
1. 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//RE U.S. perso when collethe U.S. perso working w	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no ETO USA, PVEY n information was ection was erson information	ation to the instances,	which occurred on The was in the of the incidents.	Instance occ United State	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3 (b)(3 containing analyst notice has begun	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
L. 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//REUS, perso) when collethe U.S. perso	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no ETO USA, PVEY n information was ection was erson information	ation to the instances,	which occurred on The was in the of the incidents.	Instance occ United State	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3 (b)(3 containing analyst notice has begun	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
L 86-36	destroyed (S//SI//RE U.S. perso when collethe U.S. perso working w	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no ETO USA, PVEY n information was ection was erson information	ation to the instances,	which occurred on The was in the of the incidents.	A U.S.	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3 (b)(3 containing analyst notice has begun	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3
k	destroyed (S//SI//RE U.S. perso when collethe U.S. perso working w	U.S. person inform In the first when the the intercept and no ETO USA, PVEY n information was ection was erson information	ation to the instances,	which occurred on The was in the of the incidents.	A U.S.	that a urred on (b)(1 s. The (b)(3 (b)(3 containing analyst notice has begun	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-(b)(3)-50 US)-P.L. 86-3)-18 USC 3)-50 USC 3

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(U) Counterintelligence Activities	
(U) Nothing to report. (b)(1)	
(U) Intelligence-related Activities (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i)	
(S//SI//NI') To reduce the risk of unauthorized telephony collection and prevent violations. NSA	
instituted a process to give analysts greater and faster insight into a target's location.	
When collection occurred,	
it was purged from NSA databases.	
(TS//ST/74T) (b)(3)-P.L. 86 (b)(3)-50 USG	i-36 D 3024(i)
NIC'A analyses Complete Annual and analyses	
NSA analysts found that e-mail selectors this quarter. Collection	
that occurred inof theinstances was purged from NSA databases.	
(C//REL TO USA, FVEY) Although not violations of B.O. 12333 and related directives, NSA/CSS reports instances in which database access was not terminated when access was no longer required. Once identified, the accesses were terminated.	1) 3)-P.L. 86-3
(TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) Collection occurred on U.S. persons because of a with the	
mod the dute	
collected as a result of the malfunction was purged from the database. and the data(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86 (b)(3)-50 Use	
2. (U// FOUO) NSA OlG Intelligence Oversight Inspections, Investigations, and Special Studies.	
(U// TOUO) During this quarter, the OIG reviewed various intelligence activities of the NSA/CSS to determine whether they had been conducted in accordance with applicable statutes,	

(U//TOUO) During this quarter, the OIG reviewed various intelligence activities of the NSA/CSS to determine whether they had been conducted in accordance with applicable statutes. Executive Orders, Attorney General procedures, and Department of Defense and internal directives. With few exceptions, the problems uncovered were routine and showed that operating elements understand the restrictions on NSA/CSS activities.

(U//TOUO) NSA/CSS Threat Operations Center

(U//FOUO) An NSA OIG inspection found that the intelligence oversight within NTOC is appropriately managed and compliant with standing regulations. NTOC has established effective

DOC	D: 4165207
	<u>TOP SECRET//COMINT/NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(f)</u>
	management controls to ensure thatauthorities are properly executed on the NTOC operations floor. Based on training statistics reviewed, the inspector found a 95 percent rate of compliance for intelligence oversight training.
	(U// EOUO) Alleged Unauthorized Disclosure of Classified Information
	(FS//SH/NF) The (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-SO USC 3024(i) is conducting an investigation into the release of a SIGINT report to an intelligence officer before the report was sanitized or vetted for proper release. The data disseminated included NSA data that is potentially a significant compromise of SIGINT (b)(1) capabilities. The OIG will track this action through completion. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
g.≂(£) (ď)	(U) Congressional, IOB, and DNI Notifications.
-	NSA/CSS notified the Majority Staff Director of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence of the process to resolve the Business Records matter, provide additional information to the Committee on other matters that have been addressed previously to the Committee, and to notify the Committee of one additional matter which was only recently identified. A copy of the four part notification is included as an addendum to this report.
	3. (U) Substantive Changes to the NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Program.
	(U) Nothing to report.
	4. (U) Changes to NSA/CSS published directives or policies concerning intelligence, counterintelligence, or intelligence-related activities and the reason for the changes.
	(U) Nothing to report.
	5. (U) Procedures governing the activities of Department of Defense (DoD) intelligence components that affect U.S. persons (DoD Directive 5240.1-R, Procedure 15) Inquiries or Matters Related to Intelligence Oversight Programs.
	(U) Nothing to report.